

2nd Year



Eagle Forum Report

successor to The Phyllis Schlafly Report

200 W. Third St., Ste. 502 • Alton, IL 62002 • (618) 433-8990 • Eagle@EagleForum.org • EagleForum.org

September 2018

Volume 2/Number 9

Traffic Jam at Border

FAKE LEFT; GO ICE

by Cillian Zeal, a writer whose work has been in *Conservative Tribune* and the *Western Journal*. He splits time between the United States and abroad.

On Sunday, July 21, 2018 Americans who tuned into Fox News were greeted by a sight few thought they would ever have to witness: a former Immigration and Customs Enforcement director having to defend himself and his agency against the bizarre claim that ICE was somehow tantamount to Islamic State of Iraq and Syria.

The ridiculous charge he was addressing came a day earlier courtesy of Michelle Wolf, the liberal Netflix star responsible for the so-called “entertainment” at this year’s White House Correspondents’ Dinner. In the sketch, she plays Department of Homeland Security leader Kirstjen Nielsen, who states that “no organization is better than ICE is” (Get it? rhymes with “ISIS”) as agents physically rip babies from mothers’ arms and march around with an ICE flag virtually indistinguishable from the ISIS banner. In case the message was not clear, “#AbolishICE” was appended to the tweet.

Defending ICE against Wolf’s glib, fact-less effrontery should be superfluous, but these are strange times we live in and these tweets are actually taken seriously. Thus,

former ICE Acting Director Thomas Homan found himself in the odd position of having to state that stopping drug smugglers and child traffickers was actually a *good* thing and in no way made an agency analogous to a radical Islamist terror organization.

“We’ve saved several thousands of children from drug traffickers,” H o m a n said. “We have arrested over 2,000 child predators who trafficked in children for sexual exploitation and pornography. What ICE officers have done in this past year is arrest more than 100,000 criminals walking the streets of our neighborhoods,” he continued. “We’ve seized 981,000 pounds of narcotics in the neighborhoods of this country. What ICE is doing is protecting this country and keeping us safe.”

But here’s the rub: None of that matters to an American Left that has convinced itself that no one who enters or stays in this country

illegally should ever be deported, no matter what crimes they may have committed.

The Left’s war on immigration law has not always included attacks on ICE, an agency that has been generally uncontroversial since its inception in the wake of 9/11. Last year, the reauthorization of DHS funding — which includes money for ICE — passed the House by a robust margin of 386-41. Liberals like representatives Nancy Pelosi, Debbie Wasserman Schultz, Ted Lieu and Sheila Jackson Lee all

voted in favor of the reauthorization bill. These were, alas, simpler times.

The call to abolish ICE because of the work done by its Enforcement


and Removal Operations arm (only one of three offices that make up the agency, a fact rarely cited by its opponents) had its genesis in a raft of ill-researched stories in the legacy media, all published in late May, claiming that ICE had “lost” roughly 1,500 children in its custody. These stories dovetailed with social media posts that claimed the agency was keeping children “in cages.” Both were false — and, in a stroke of mor-



(Continued on page 2)

bid humor, the latter rumor was fueled by pictures that dated from the Obama administration.

While this outrage may have been ginned up by poor reporting and fake news, it did not dissipate. Instead, it crystallized around something else entirely: families in ICE custody being separated. Unmentioned were that this separation was because of the intersection of court decisions, asylum law and other policies which stipulate children could not be kept in detention with their parents for



extended periods of time, or that the children were being kept in comfortable, well-maintained facilities, or the fact that maybe — just maybe — marching your children across inhospitable terrain to the border in order to commit a crime, likely with the aid of human traffickers, could reasonably cause people to question your priorities as a parent. After all, no less than *The New York Times* was forced to admit that “(s)ome migrants have admitted they brought their children not only to remove them from danger in such places as Central America and Africa, but because they believed it would cause the authorities to release them from custody sooner.”

Are these adults actually the parents? A 2016 Senate report revealed that cartels were using “unaccompanied alien children” smuggled with il-

legal immigrants so they would avoid detention if they were caught at the border. A *Daily Caller* report from June 2018 revealed that numerous “parents” apprehended by authorities did not seem to know a whole lot about their “children” — clearly a sign of smuggling. Given that some of the thousands of children that ICE has saved from sexual exploitation have likely been smuggled in because they are a get-out-of-jail-free card for illegal immigrants, what does this suggest about the desire to abolish the very agency that’s trying to stop this?

Pointing this out causes a hue and cry from the “abolish ICE” crowd about how these are nothing more than downtrodden souls escaping violence in their native countries. What they neglect to mention is that said violence, when it factors in to someone’s decision to emigrate at all, is usually caused by criminal cartels — cartels that benefit greatly

from illegal immigration and soft borders. CNN — again not a secret enclave of pro-conservative sentiment — quoted a Customs and Border Patrol spokesman for a July 2017 story who said that cartels “have a stranglehold on the Mexican side of the border and control much of the land,” making it virtually impossible for anyone who wants to enter the United States illegally to do it without paying human traffickers.

The main vocation of these criminal cartels, in case anyone has forgotten, is drug smuggling, which fuels the turbulence in Central and South America. I suppose the Left has never been particularly interested in drug interdiction, even if it causes so much of the violence they claim to abhor. Perhaps they care about violence closer to home — namely, the horrors

wrought by MS-13. Roughly 10,000 members of the gang, primarily composed of Salvadorian immigrants, are currently in the U.S. ICE arrested 796 alleged MS-13 members in 2017, part of 4,818 non-citizen gang members taken into custody by the agency. Since 2012, 207 MS-13 members have been charged with murder. But the only great outrage caused by MS-13’s activities within the United States was when President Trump deigned to call members of the bloodthirsty gang “animals.” This apparently qualified as racism, which says a lot about the Left’s priorities.

I might be wasting keystrokes here, since the Democrats do not care about abolishing ICE but *saying* that they want its abolishment. A bill to abolish ICE, The Establishing a Humane Immigration Enforcement System Act, was brought up in committee by House Democrats. Instead of summarily killing it like its sponsors no doubt expected, however, Republicans decided to embrace it. What a fantastic opportunity to get Democrats in the lower chamber on the record on how they really feel about ICE, especially since it had no chance of passing and came just months before the midterms.

The amount of time it took Democrats to back away from their own legislation did not even have to be measured in weeks or days. Wisconsin Rep. Mark Pocan introduced the bill on July 12; mere hours later, Pocan and two co-sponsors issued a statement saying they would not support their own bill because the GOP was not taking it seriously enough.

“If Speaker Ryan puts our bill on the floor, we plan to vote no and will instead use the opportunity to force an urgently needed and long-overdue conversation on the House floor,” the statement read. When the Republicans

————— (Continued on page 3)

backed away from forcing a vote on the bill and merely introduced a resolution in support of ICE, however, Democrats did not object. The resolution quietly passed by a 244-35 vote, with most Democrats voting “present” — a convenient way to avoid taking a stand one way or another.

Plenty of lawmakers still *say* they want to see ICE abolished, Senators Kirsten Gillibrand and Elizabeth Warren, both 2020 presidential front-runners, have come out in favor of wiping out the agency. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez — the new socialist face of the Democrats, who has managed an astonishing level of media since her surprise primary victory in June — also says she is in favor of abolishing the agency. New York City Mayor Bill De Blasio, head of one of the nation’s most aggressive sanctuary cities, says he also favors abolishment.

I mention these names because none of these politicians are high-

ly visible Democrats, pressured the party to push a bill that would do just what they propose. Nor did any of the Left’s enablers in the media do so. Networks like CNN and MSNBC glossed over the legislation. Late-night comedians like Michelle Wolf, Samantha Bee and Jimmy Kimmel overlooked it entirely. This proves that Democrats saying they want to abolish ICE is mere virtue-signaling. God forbid they take a stand voters outside of what their base might actually hold them accountable for.

In a way, that’s what saddened me most about Thomas Homan’s appearance on Fox News. He was citing facts that we should all, as Americans, be thankful for. ICE does invaluable work to keep us (and the illegally trafficked children that liberals claim to care so much about) safe from serious danger. Yet none of these very salient facts is likely to persuade any liberal that abolishing ICE is a fun-

damentally unserious idea. Such are the strange triangulations of the American Left. They do not believe in stringently enforcing our drug trafficking laws, even though they would never dream of voting to repeal them. They do not believe in going after child traffickers, even though they pay pharisaical lip service to stopping them. They do not care about violent criminals walking our streets, unless (of course) the problem is local. They do not believe in the mission of ICE — but they wouldn’t dare abolish it, for fear of the very justified backlash they would reap at the voting booth from the rest of America.

Mr. Homan was recently replaced by Ronald Vitiello. One can only hope and pray that he does not find himself in the position of having to defend his agency against the absurd claim that enforcing the law and protecting Americans is somehow equivalent to terrorism. 🐦

HUMAN TRAFFICKERS ARE UNWELCOME IN TEXAS

by Ken Paxton, Texas Attorney General

One of my first major initiatives as attorney general was the formation of a special unit dedicated to combating human trafficking in Texas. I set the bar high, with the expectation that my office would conceive of new innovative approaches to combat these horrific crimes. By all objective measures, my office’s Human Trafficking and Transnational/Organized Crime section has achieved tremendous results.

In April 2018, the unit delivered a 1-2 knockout punch to backpage.com, a nefarious and predatory website blamed for 73 percent of all child trafficking cases reported to the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. The Backpage business model was to generate millions of dollars annually on the backs

of innocent women and children who were forced or coerced into sex trafficking.

First, we assisted the U.S. Department of Justice in permanently shutting down Backpage, which operated websites in 97 countries, 943 locations and 17 languages worldwide. Then, our office’s prosecution of Backpage resulted in the company itself pleading guilty to human trafficking in Texas, and its CEO, Carl Ferrer, pleading guilty to money laundering. Ferrer’s mandated cooperation in the ongoing investigation into Backpage could lead to other criminal charges against individuals associated with the company.



This victory was a long time coming. All previous attempts to bring down Backpage proved fruitless. With its unlimited ill-gotten gains, Backpage routinely dodged law enforcement and court actions against it through various legal maneuvers or stalling tactics, all the while enabling criminals as the largest online sex trafficking marketplace in the world.

That Backpage could be headquartered in Texas seems unfathomable, and it underscores the point that human trafficking can and does happen everywhere. Unfortunately,

(Continued on page 4)