



In Nebraska, that is LR6.

LR6 is carried over from the 2017 Legislative session and a vote is expected in 2018. It is important that you contact our Nebraska Legislators.

Remember, "big money" is endangering our Constitution including the Second Amendment !

Don't gamble the Constitution away!



Opponents of an Article V Constitutional Convention of States include:

- National Association of Gun Rights
- Gun Owners of America
- National Eagle Forum
- Campaign for Liberty
- Oathkeepers
- Republican National Committee
- Nebraska Republican Party—Resolution
- And many, many more!

It is critical that we all work together to protect our Constitution and our individual right to bear arms. I am available to speak in your area in an effort to educate the public to the dangers presented by the Article V Constitutional Convention of States. Feel free to contact me.

Kathy Wilmot



Name
Address
Phone Number

It's a Gun Grab!

Article V Convention of States Threatens Second Amendment



Organization Name
Organization Motto
Tel:

Some Big Money Activists are Involved

Ultra-liberal Soros is pouring millions of dollars into liberal organizations pushing for a convention. Ultra-left groups include Cenk Uygur and The Young Turks, Wolf-PAC, Code Pink, Sierra Club, MoveOn.org and Occupy groups .

Former Supreme Court Justice Stevens has recommended that five words be added to the Second Amendment: “A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms **when serving in the Militia** shall not be infringed.” See how five words can strip the individual’s right to bear arms?

Many conservatives are also grasping at straws and pushing for an Article V Convention.

Mark Meckler, president of Citizens for Self Governance (CSG) and spokesman for Convention of States Project (COS) also travels and pressures State Legislators to support an Article V Convention. Meckler is pictured here with ultra-liberal Joan Blades, co-founder of MoveOn.org. They’re working together?



Michael Farris, a constitutional attorney regarded as a conservative, advocates “reconfiguring the Supreme Court after the model of the European Court of Human Rights. There are 46 nations in that court’s jurisdiction, and every nation appoints one judge. We should expand the Supreme Court to 50 justices and have the states appoint the justices for a specific term (six or eight years) with no right of reappointment...” Farris said.

Seriously? **Our Constitution does not need “fixed”...it needs enforced!**

What is an Article V Convention?

Article V gives the process for proposing amendments to the Constitution and subsequent ratification. Article V states, “**The Congress, whenever two thirds of both Houses shall deem it necessary, shall propose Amendments to this Constitution, or, on the Application of the Legislatures of two thirds of the several States, shall call a Convention for proposing Amendments, which, in either Case, shall be valid to all Intents and Purposes, as Part of this Constitution, when ratified by the Legislatures of three fourths of the several States, or by Conventions in three fourths thereof, as the one or the other Mode of Ratification may be proposed by the Congress...**” Congress would be in charge, not the States!

There are no guidelines or limits to the scope of a convention. Because it does not specify who will make critical decisions, or how they will be decided, these will likely fall to the courts.

Who would select the delegates?

It is reasonable to expect that large population states, just like Congress, will control an Article V Constitutional Convention.

Article V Constitutional convention supporters who claim they can control the process are snake-oil salesmen. Many point to activist judges who are re-

writing the Constitution from the bench and claim a convention is the only remedy. But because there is no legal precedent for an Article V convention, these very same courts will likely decide the outcome of a convention.

Can a Convention be limited?

Not a single Article V activist, not one single constitutional scholar, has seen this process before. It’s never happened in our nation’s history. The closest thing to a historical parallel was when the Constitutional Convention of 1787 threw out the Articles of Confederation, an action which far exceeded the authority granted. There’s nothing to stop Delegates from throwing out our Constitution to usher in a more, “time-appropriate” government systems and authority. Liberal Harvard Law Professor Lawrence Lessig put it this way:

The only requirement is that two-thirds of the states apply, and then begins the drama of an unscripted national convention to debate questions of fundamental law. It would be a grand circus of democracy at its best.

With the Left’s hatred of the Second Amendment—and Hawaii’s Resolution for Repeal or modification of the Second Amendment (See Hawaii HCR 114, 2012), it is obvious a Convention would no doubt place the Second Amendment on the chopping block!

Warnings from experts:

Former Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court Warren Burger said, “There is no way, any more than the Continental Congress could control the convention in Philadelphia, to put a muzzle on a Constitutional Convention. Once it meets, it will do whatever the majority wants to do. I would not favor it.”

Former Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia said, “I certainly would not want a constitutional convention. Whoa! Who knows what would come out of it?” (2014)